UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/826,452	04/16/2004	Stephen K. Pinto	17146-002001	1042		
26161 FISH & RICHA	7590 04/04/2007		EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022			BHARADWA	J, KALPANA		
MINNEAPOLIS	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022 ART UNIT PAPE		PAPER NUMBER			
			2109			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	04/04/2007	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			EL
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/826,452	PINTO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bharadwaj Kalpana	2109	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAL (136(a). In no event, however, may a report will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Ily be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this commur NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A	<u> April 2004</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	,		
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examino	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 16 April 2004 is/are: a	ı)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-15	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority document 	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in App	olication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	eceived in this National Stag	е
application from the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
Attachment/c)			
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Theories Sur	mmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/i	Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	rmal Patent Application	•
	<u></u>		

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :12/19/2006, 07/17/2006 & 02/28/2005.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 9-25 and 27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eder (USPN 6,321,205 B1, referred to as **Eder**).

As to Claim 1, Eder discloses a machine-based method comprising in connection with a project (Eder, C 39 L 40: components of all defined enterprises; Fig. 7, 50: Application Database; EN: an enterprise is a project) in which a user generates a predictive model (Eder, C 39 L 36: Predictive Model Specification) based on historical data about a system being modeled (Eder, C 23 L 09: based on historical information), automatically storing structured project information that captures a state of the project (Eder, C 25 L 52: state of each node; EN: nodes are associated with the enterprise (Eder, C 39 L 60: nodes for the network)) at successive steps (Eder, C 07 L 04: major processing steps; Fig 1: The processing steps involve databases at successive steps that represent storage) in generating the model.

As to Claim 2, Eder discloses the method of claim 1 in which the system comprises behavior (Eder, C 27 L 64 Table 23: behavior of dynamic systems) of prospective customers of a vendor with respect to a product or service offered by the vendor (Eder, C 05 L 63: vendor relationships); behavior of prospective customers belonging to a population of potential customers (Eder, C 05 L 59 Table 1: Customers, Correlation to components of value) with respect to a product or service; or behavior of current customers with respect to a current product or service (Eder, C 05 L 65 Table 1: Brand Names, Correlation to component(s) of value).

As to **Claim 3**, Eder discloses the method of claim 1 in which the predictive model predicts (**Eder**, C 39 L 36: Predictive Model Specification) behavior of a prospective or current customer with respect to purchase of or payment (**Eder**, C 05 L 51 Table 1: Prepaid Expenses, L 48: Accounts Receivable) for a product or service of a vendor.

As to **Claim 4**, Eder discloses the method of claim 1 in which the predictive model predicts (**Eder**, C 39 L 36: Predictive Model Specification) behavior of a current customer with respect to retention of a current service (**Eder**, C 15 L 09: tracking requests for service; **EN**: tracking is done to establish the status and make decisions on retention or deletion of a service; a service could be a product or a vendor) or product of a vendor.

As to Claim 5, Eder discloses the method of claim 1 in which the predictive model predicts (Eder, C 39 L 36: Predictive Model Specification) behavior of a current customer with respect to risk of asserting claims, loan payment or prepayment to a vendor (Eder, C 21 L 16 Table 16: Account payment data; C 22 L 54 Table 20: Liability Account).

As to Claim 6, Eder discloses the method of claim 1 in which the predictive model predicts (Eder, C 39 L 36: Predictive Model Specification) behavior of a current customer with respect to usage of a current service or product (Eder, C36 L 54: Element of value usage) of a vendor.

As to Claim 7, Eder discloses the method of claim 1 in which the project information comprises model process validation (Eder, C 28 L 21: cross validation algorithm is used for model selection) and at least two of: project objectives, project schedules, project requirements (Eder, C 25 L 58: neural network requires; EN: neural network is used to model a project and hence its requirements would use project requirements data for training), information about the historical data (Eder, C 23 L 09: based on historical information), model ensembles and outputs of the model (Eder, C 24 L 60-63: neural network; output nodes; C 25 L 53: generate an output variable; EN: Neural Network is the model).

As to **Claim 9**, Eder discloses the method of claim 1 also including enabling a user to refine a previous (**Eder**, Fig. 7, Elements 402 & 404: Retrieve information for next growth option; **EN**: applying growth information to update a database is a process of refining; C 45 L 33: improvement analysis) project based on the stored structured project information (**Eder**, Fig. 7, 50: Application Database).

As to Claim 10, Eder discloses the method of claim 1 also including enabling a user to apply the model generated in the project based on the stored structured project information (Eder, Fig. 7, Elements 50, 412; EN: Application database inputs data to a model to generate a scenario).

As to Claim 11, Eder discloses a machine-based method comprising in connection with a project in which a user generates a predictive model based on historical data (Eder, C 23 L 09: based on historical information) about a system being modeled, storing in a common location (Eder, C 07 L 16: aggregating and storing; EN: aggregate is to combine) project information that includes a model validation process and at least two of: project objectives, project schedules, project requirements (Eder, C 25 L 58: neural network requires; EN: neural network is used to model a project and hence its requirements would use project requirements data for training), information about the historical data (Eder, C 23 L 09: based on historical information), equations expressing the model, performance characteristics of the model, and outputs of the

Application/Control Number: 10/826,452

Art Unit: 2109

model (**Eder**, C 24 L 60-63: neural network is determined; output nodes; C 25 L 53: generate an output variable; **EN**: Neural network is the model).

As to Claim 12, Eder discloses the method of claim 11 in which the system comprises behavior (Eder, C 27 L 64 Table 23: behavior of dynamic systems) of customers (Eder, C 05 L 59 Table 1: Customers) of a vendor with respect to products offered by the vendor (Eder, C 05 L 63: vendor relationships; Correlation to component(s) value).

As to **Claim 13**, Eder discloses the method of claim 11 in which the predictive model predicts behavior of a prospective or current customer (**Eder**, C 05 L 59 Table 1: Customers) with respect to purchase of a product (**Eder**, C 05 L 51 Table 1: Production Equipment; C 03 L 28: purchasing all or part of the business) or service of a vendor.

As to Claim 14, Eder discloses the method of claim 11 in which the predictive model predicts behavior of a current customer with respect to retention of a current service (Eder, C 15 L 09: tracking requests for service) or product of a vendor.

As to Claim 15, Eder discloses the method of claim 11 in which the predictive model predicts behavior of a current customer with respect to risk of asserting claims, loan payment or prepayment to a vendor (Eder, C 21 L 16 Table 16: Account payment data; C 22 L 54 Table 20: Liability Account).

As to **Claim 16**, Eder discloses the method of claim 11 in which the predictive model predicts behavior of a current customer with respect to usage (**Eder**, C36 L 54: Element of value usage) of a current service or product of a vendor.

As to Claim 17, Eder discloses the method of claim 11 in which the project information comprises model process validation (Eder, C 28 L 21: cross validation algorithm is used for model selection) and at least two of: project objectives, project schedules, project requirements (Eder, C 25 L 58: neural network requires; EN: neural network is used to model a project and hence its requirements would use project requirements data for training), information about the historical data, model ensembles and outputs of the model (Eder, C 24 L 60-63: neural network; output nodes; C 25 L 53: generate an output variable; EN: Neural Network is the model).

As to Claim 18, Eder discloses the method of claim 11 in which the common location comprises a file (Eder, C 05 L 18: files) or folder maintained by an operating system of a computer (Eder, C 05 L 18: computer-based).

As to **Claim 19**, Eder discloses the method of claim 11 also including enabling a user to refine a previous project (**Eder**, Fig. 7, Elements 402 & 404: Retrieve information for next growth option; **EN**: applying growth information to update a database is a

process of refining; C 45 L 33: improvement analysis) based on the stored structured project information.

As to Claim 20, Eder discloses a machine-based method comprising enabling users to generate predictive models based on historical data about systems being modeled, and applying a common project tracking paradigm (Eder, C 06 L 47: ability to track the changes in elements) to manage the generation of the models (Eder, Abstract: define a financial simulation model such as a Markov Chain Monte Carlo model) by the users and to store project information associated with the respective models in a common format (Eder, C 06 L 53: produces reports in formats that are similar to reports provided by traditional systems).

As to Claim 21, Eder discloses the method of claim 20 in which the predictive models each predicts behavior of a prospective or current customer (Eder, C 05 L 59 Table 1: Customers) with respect to purchase of a product (Eder, C 05 L 51 Table 1: Production Equipment; C 03 L 28: purchasing all or part of the business) or service of a vendor.

As to Claim 22, Eder discloses the method of claim 20 in which the predictive models each predicts behavior of a current customer with respect to retention of a current service (Eder, C 15 L 09: tracking requests for service; EN: tracking is done to

establish the status and make decisions on retention or deletion of a service; a service could be a product or a vendor) or product of a vendor.

As to Claim 23, Eder discloses the method of claim 20 in which the predictive model predicts behavior of a current customer with respect to risk of asserting claims, loan payment or prepayment to a vendor (Eder, C 21 L 16 Table 16: Account payment data; C 22 L 54 Table 20: Liability Account).

As to Claim 24, Eder discloses the method of claim 20 in which the predictive model predicts behavior of a current customer with respect to usage of a current service (Eder, C36 L 54: Element of value usage) or product of a vendor.

As to Claim 25, Eder discloses the method of claim 20 in which the project information comprises model process validation and at least two of: project objectives, project schedules, project requirements (Eder, C 25 L 58: neural network requires; EN: neural network is used to model a project and hence its requirements would use project requirements data for training), information about the historical data (Eder, C 23 L 09: based on historical information), model ensembles and outputs of the model (Eder, C 24 L 60-63: neural network is determined; output nodes; C 25 L 53: generate an output variable; EN: Neural network is the model).

As to Claim 27, Eder discloses the method of claim 20 also including enabling a user to refine a previous project (Eder, Fig. 7, Elements 402 & 404: Retrieve information for next growth option; EN: applying growth information to update a database is a process of refining; also see C 45 L 33: improvement analysis) based on the stored structured project information.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 8 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eder (USPN. 6,321,205 B1, referred to as **Eder**) as applied to claims 1-7 above and further in view of Amado (USPN 5701400, referred to as **Amado**)

As to Claim 8, Eder teaches the method of claim 1 also including enabling a user to store structured project information (Eder, C 21 L 34: value is stored in the element). Eder does not teach replicating a project based on the stored project information. However, Amado teaches replicating (Amado, C 26 L 65: replicate the data into the invention's own database).

It would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the two because they are both in the field of Artificial Intelligence and deal with using predictive models. Further, by applying replication to Eder's system, a copy of the overall data structure can be created without having to rebuild the system.

As to Claim 26, Eder teaches the method of claim 20 also including enabling a user to store structured project information (Eder, C 21 L 34: value is stored in the element). Eder does not teach replicating a project based on the stored project information. However, Amado teaches replicating (Amado, C 26 L 65: replicate the data into the invention's own database).

It would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the two because they are both in the field of Artificial Intelligence and deal with using predictive models. Further, by applying replication to Eder's system, a copy of the overall data structure can be created without having to rebuild the system.

Examinations Considerations

5. Examiner's Notes (**EN**) are provided with the cited references to prior art to assist the applicant to better understand the nature of the prior art, application of such prior art and, as appropriate, to further indicate other prior art that maybe applied in other office actions. Such comments are entirely consistent with the intent and spirit of compact prosecution. However, and unless otherwise stated, the Examiner's Notes are not prior art but a link to prior art that one of ordinary skill in the art would find inherently appropriate.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,452 Page 12

Art Unit: 2109

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure –

- a. Tanaka, USPN 20050089768, cited for prediction model for manufacturing semiconductor devices.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bharadwaj Kalpana whose telephone number is (571) 270-1641. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30am 5:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wu Xiao can be reached on (571) 272-7761. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ΚB

Mar 16, 2007

Art Unit: 2109

XIAO WU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER